

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,636	03/01/2004	Mark Dinsmore	082251-0192	6535
48329 FOLEY & LA	7590 09/23/200 RDNER LLP	8	EXAM	IINER
111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			THOMAS, COURTNEY D	
26TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,636	DINSMORE, MARK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Courtney Thomas	2882		

	Courtney Thomas	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALIING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:19 after SIX (f) MCQFF15 from the making date of this communication. 14. Failur to reply within the act or oxtended period for reply will by shatute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making-arend pattern term adultsmiter. See 37 CFR 1.79(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/05)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F	ate				

		Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	
2)		Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
10	∇	Indiana Programme Control of the Con	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/10/08.

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,636 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

 The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

 In particular, applicant asserts the (at least one) error includes not having claimed:

3. A probe having a radiation source at a distal end, and including: a) a probe assembly including an optical delivery structure adapted for transmitting optical radiation; b) an optical source for generating optical radiation directed to an end of said optical delivery structure; c) a radiation source coupled to a distal end of said optical delivery structure, said radiation source comprising a thermionic cathode and a target element; i) wherein the thermionic cathode is responsive to said optical radiation transmitted to said distal end to emit electrons, and wherein said thermionic cathode comprises a spiral-shaped conductive element; and ii) wherein said target element is responsive to incident electrons emitted from said thermionic cathode to emit radiation; d) means for establishing an accelerating electric field extending between said electron source toward said target element, the electric field being effective to accelerate electrons emitted from the thermionic cathode toward said target element; wherein said optical delivery structure is adapted to direct a beam of optical radiation transmitted therethrough to impinge upon a surface of the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,636 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

thermionic cathode, and wherein said beam of transmitted optical radiation has a power level sufficient to heat at least a portion of said surface to an electron emitting temperature so as to cause thermionic emission of electrons from said surface.

Examiner notes the following sections of MPEP 1414 (section II):

In identifying the error, it is sufficient that the reissue oath/declaration identify a single word, phrase, or expression in the specification or in an original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly incoperative or invalid. The corre-

5.

A statement of "... faiture to include a claim directed to..." and then presenting a newly added claim, would not be considered a sufficient "enro" statement since applicant has not pointed out what the other claims tacked that the newly added claim has, or vice versa. Such a statement would be no better than saying in the reissue oath or declaration that "this application is being filed to correct errors in the patient which may be noted from the change made by adding new claim 10." In both cases, the error has not been identified.

б.

- 7. Examiner notes that the oath/declaration submitted by applicant fails to a) identify a single word, phrase or expression in the specification or original claim and how it renders the original patent wholly or partially inoperative or invalid and b) merely states that the error includes not having claimed a newly added claim. Each condition fails to support grounds for reissue application as specified in MPEP 1414 shown above.
- Accordingly, claims 1-30 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

Art Unit: 2882

9. The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion $\frac{1}{2}$

above in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/07 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive. In particular, Examiner notes that Applicant has not

met the initial conditions to support a reissue application and as a

consequence all other arguments are considered moot.

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension

of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply

is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Courtney Thomas whose telephone

Art Unit: 2882

number is (571) 272-2496. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9

am - 5 pm).

examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272 2490. The fax

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Courtney Thomas/

Courtney Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882